Unconventional Coherence Peak in Cuprate Superconductors

Zheng Li $\mathbf{0},^{1,2,*}$ $\mathbf{0},^{1,2,*}$ $\mathbf{0},^{1,2,*}$ Chao Mu,^{1,2} Pengfei Li,^{1,2} Wei Wu $\mathbf{0},^{1}$ Jiangping Hu,^{1,3} Tao Xiang,^{1,2} Kun Jiang \bullet ,^{1,2,[†](#page-0-1)} and Jianlin Luo^{1,2,[‡](#page-0-2)}

 1 Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and [Institute of Physics,](https://ror.org/05cvf7v30)

[Chinese Academy of Sciences,](https://ror.org/05cvf7v30) Beijing 100190, China ²

²School of Physical Sciences, [University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,](https://ror.org/05qbk4x57) Beijing 100190, China

³New Cornerstone Science Laboratory, Beijing 100190, China

(Received 5 June 2024; revised 17 November 2024; accepted 2 December 2024; published 31 December 2024)

The Hebel-Slichter coherence peak, observed in the spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ just below the critical temperature T_c , serves as a crucial experimental validation of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer pairing symmetry in conventional superconductors. However, no coherence peak in $1/T_1$ has been observed in unconventional superconductors like cuprates. In this study, an unconventional coherence peak is identified for the first time using nuclear quadrupole resonance on $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$, pointing to a distinctive pairing symmetry. The spin-lattice relaxation rate in nuclear quadrupole resonance and nuclear magnetic resonance with nuclear spin $I > 1/2$ comprises the magnetic relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$, which probes magnetic fluctuations, and the quadrupole relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, which probes charge fluctuations. By utilizing ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu isotopes, we successfully distinguish $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ of YBa₂Cu₄O₈ and reveal the presence of the coherence peak in $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ but not in $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$, in contrast to conventional superconductors. Our finding demonstrates that unconventional superconductors do not exhibit a coherence peak in $1/T_1$ when the relaxation is due to fluctuations of the hyperfine field. Conversely, a coherence peak is expected when the relaxation is caused by electric field gradient fluctuations, due to the different coherence factors between charge and magnetic fluctuations. Our successful measurements of $1/T_1$ for the chains of YBa₂Cu₄O₈ suggest that, should the conditions for predominant quadrupole relaxation be satisfied, this phenomenon could provide a novel approach to exploring the unconventional nature of the pairing mechanism in other superconductors.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.14.041072](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.14.041072) Subject Areas: Condensed Matter Physics, Strongly Correlated Materials, Superconductivity

I. INTRODUCTION

Unconventional superconductors, such as d-wave and s^{\pm} -wave superconductors, are characterized by a varying sign of the superconducting gap function in momentum space, with their Cooper pairs widely believed to originate from electron-electron correlation rather than electronphonon coupling [[1\]](#page-8-0). Therefore, unconventional superconductors exhibit distinct physical properties, such as the absence of the Hebel-Slichter coherence peak [\[2](#page-8-1)–[10\]](#page-9-0). In conventional superconductors with uniform superconducting gaps, the density of states (DOS) diverges at the gap energy, leading to a huge enhancement of spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ just below the critical temperature T_c in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiment, called the Hebel-Slichter coherence peak [\[11\]](#page-9-1). Conversely, this Hebel-Slichter coherence peak is absent in unconventional superconductors $[10]$, such as d-wave cuprate superconductors, where the DOS divergence persists. Consequently, detecting coherence peaks poses a significant challenge for unconventional superconductors. In this work, we reveal a novel unconventional coherence peak in high-temperature cuprate superconductors using nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR), introducing a distinctive feature of unconventional superconductivity.

The nucleus with the spin $I > 1/2$ is nonspherical and possesses an electric quadrupole moment $Q_{\alpha\beta}$, where α and β are spatial directions x, y, z. This results in the nucleus having an electrostatic energy that varies depending on its orientation within an electric field gradient (EFG) $V_{\alpha\beta}$ = $\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}\right)$ generated by the local potential V from its

[^{*}](#page-0-3) Contact author: lizheng@iphy.ac.cn

[[†]](#page-0-4) Contact author: jiangkun@iphy.ac.cn

[[‡]](#page-0-4) Contact author: jlluo@iphy.ac.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

surrounding environments [[12](#page-9-2)]. Hence, the electrostatic energy splitting between different nucleus spin $|I|$ is utilized in NQR, analogous to how NMR employs the magnetic energy splitting of each spin I under a magnetic field. Furthermore, the electric quadrupole moment is a valuable tool for investigating electric field dynamics, akin to how the magnetic moment is used to study spin dynamics. These tools complement each other in exploring electromagnetic fluctuations in condensed matter [[13](#page-9-3)]. A key dynamic signal is the spin-lattice relaxation rate, which describes how the nuclei arrive at their thermal equilibrium via the process of spin-lattice relaxation and is proportional to the summation of the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility. In the conventional s-wave superconducting state, the rate can be expressed as [\[14\]](#page-9-4)

$$
\frac{T_{1N}}{T_{1S}} = -\frac{2}{N_0^2} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \left(1 \pm \frac{\Delta^2}{E^2}\right) N_S^2(E) \frac{\partial f(E)}{\partial E} dE, \quad (1)
$$

where T_{1N} and T_{1S} are the relaxation times in the normal state and the superconducting state, respectively. N_0 is the DOS in the normal state, and $N_S(E) = N_0 E / \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}$ for $E > \Delta$ is the DOS in the superconducting state. $f(E)$ is the Fermi distribution function, and Δ is the superconducting gap. The sign of the coherence factor $(1 \pm \Delta^2/E^2)$ is contingent on the nature of the perturbation causing the transition. Magnetic relaxations, being not time-reversal invariant, have $(1 + \Delta^2/E^2)$ which enhance the divergency of $N_S(E)$ and the magnetic relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ exhibits a Hebel-Slichter coherence peak in conventional superconductors [\[14\]](#page-9-4). In contrast, quadrupole relaxations, being time-reversal invariant, have $(1 - \Delta^2/E^2)$ which compensate for the divergency of $N_S(E)$ and the quadrupole relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ drops rapidly below T_c in conventional superconductors [[15](#page-9-5),[16\]](#page-9-6). In unconventional superconductors, $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ drops or decreases gradually below T_c , without a coherence peak being observed [\[2](#page-8-1)]. These phenomena contradict the logarithmic divergence of $N_S(E)$ in cuprate superconductors [\[17](#page-9-7)]. Additionally, the cuprate superconductor gap sign varies in momentum space, significantly impacting the coherence factor and potentially leading to a shift of the coherence peak to $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$.

II. RESULT

Whether a coherence peak is present in $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ motivated us to perform NQR on two isotopes ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu in oxygen-stoichiometric and underdoped $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$. This compound is an unconventional superconductor with a transition temperature $T_c = 81.5 \text{ K}$ [\[18\]](#page-9-8), as confirmed by the temperature-dependent magnetization measurement in Fig. 6. As previously mentioned, NQR is particularly sensitive to the local chemical environment and can distinguish between Cu atoms situated in distinct positions.

 $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ contains two Cu sites, namely, the chain Cu(1) and the planar Cu(2) (depicted in the upper inset in Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), offering a unique avenue to probe $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ and explore the coherence peak. The $Cu(1)$ and $Cu(2)$ sites have different EFG strengths, resulting in different resonance frequencies as shown in the spectra inserted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0). Additionally, the distinct EFG tensors of $Cu(1)$ and $Cu(2)$ influence their electric dynamic behavior, which is discussed later. Two isotopes ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu lead to two NQR resonance peaks at each site, for a total of four peaks at both sites, as shown in the lower inset in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) for the spectrum at T_c .

The four NQR spin-lattice relaxation rates R corresponding to the four peaks are measured and plotted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) For the planar Cu(2), the R of ⁶³Cu(2) and ⁶⁵Cu(2) (green and purple lines, respectively) drop due to the reduction in DOS caused by the superconducting gap below T_c , with noticeable kink behaviors observed at T_c . The R of Cu(2) above T_c keeps increasing and saturates around 200 K [[19](#page-9-9)]. These data are consistent with previous reports in the whole temperature range [\[4,](#page-8-2)[6](#page-8-3)] and similar to $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ [\[5](#page-8-4)]. On the other hand, the R of ${}^{63}Cu(1)$ and ${}^{65}Cu(1)$ (cyan and red lines, respectively) decrease with temperature decreasing above T_c , resembling the behavior of a conventional metal. Notably, the R of $Cu(1)$ exhibits a slight increase just below T_c , followed by a decrease at lower temperatures. These distinctive characteristics are highly unusual, suggesting a coherence peak akin to that observed in conventional superconductors.

Let us focus our attention on the superconducting transition and eliminate the linear temperature term in the metal by drawing R/T around T_c in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-3-0) and [2\(b\)](#page-3-0). Both R/T of ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu at the Cu(1) site exhibit a coherence peak just below T_c , whereas R/T of the Cu(2) site commences a quite steep descent below T_c . The distinct temperature-dependence behaviors of $Cu(1)$ and $Cu(2)$ are attributed to their different EFG. R can be expressed as a sum of magnetic relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and quadrupole relaxation rate $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, $R = 3/T_1^{\text{mag}} + 1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ for $I = 3/2$ NOR experiment [[20](#page-9-10)]. Theoretically, the nuclear spin Hamiltonian of the interaction between quadrupole moment Q and EFG can be written as [[12](#page-9-2)]

$$
\mathcal{H}_{Q} = \frac{h\nu_{Q}}{6} \left[(3I_{z}^{2} - I^{2}) + \frac{\eta}{2} (I_{+}^{2} + I_{-}^{2}) \right],
$$
 (2)

where $\nu_{\rm Q}$ is the quadrupole resonance frequency along the principal axis, $h\nu_{\rm Q} = 3eQV_{zz}/2I(2I-1)$. $\eta = (V_{xx} V_{yy}/V_{zz}$ is an asymmetry parameter of the EFG, where V_{xx} , V_{yy} , and V_{zz} are the EFGs along the x, y, and z directions, respectively. Only the terms with I_+ and I_- can flip spins and contribute to $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, necessitating a sufficiently large η to measure $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$. The planar Cu(2) is isotropic with a negligible η [\[4](#page-8-2)], resulting in $1/T_1$ of Cu(2)

FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of relaxation rate R of YBa₂Cu₄O₈. The inset crystal structure indicates the Cu(1) site and Cu(2) site. The inset spectrum shows four peaks of ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu at the Cu(1) and Cu(2) sites, respectively, where R is measured. The dashed line marks the superconducting transition temperature T_c . R of ⁶³Cu(2) (green) and ⁶⁵Cu(2) (purple) drops below T_c , while R of ⁶³Cu(1) (cyan) and ⁶⁵Cu(1) (red) increases a little just below T_c and forms a small peak.

being purely affected by magnetic relaxation and unable to detect EFG fluctuations [\[2](#page-8-1)]. Therefore, although there are charge fluctuations in the Cu-O plane detected by planar ¹⁷O with $n > 0.2$ [\[21\]](#page-9-11), they cannot be detected by Cu(2) $[22]$ $[22]$ $[22]$. On the other hand, the chain Cu(1) is anisotropic with $\eta = 0.85$, causing $1/T_1$ of Cu(1) to be affected by both magnetic and quadrupole relaxation. If the coherence peak is due to magnetic relaxation, it should be observed at both $Cu(1)$ and $Cu(2)$ sites. The presence of the coherence peak exclusively at the $Cu(1)$ site suggests its origin from quadrupole relaxation.

 $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ can be decomposed by utilizing two isotopes ^{63,65}Cu, which possess the same spin $I = 3/2$ but different gyromagnetic ratios and quadrupole moments [[2](#page-8-1)]. Using isotopes can avoid the influence from form factors and principle axes directions [[23](#page-9-13)], since they occupy the same atom site. The decomposition equations are $3/63T_1^{\text{mag}} = (65R - b \times 63R)/(a - b)$ and $1/63T_1^{\text{quad}}$ $1/{}^{63}T_1^{\text{quad}} =$ $(a \times 6^3R - 6^5R)/(a - b)$, where the quotients $a =$ $\left({}^{65}\!y/{}^{63}\!y\right)^2=1.1477$ and $b = \left({}^{65}Q/{}^{63}Q\right)^2=0.8562$ are known [[24](#page-9-14)]. Before decomposing, the ratio of ${}^{65}R/{}^{63}R$ is calculated to assess the weight of $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, shown in Figs. [2\(c\)](#page-3-0) and [2\(d\).](#page-3-0) ${}^{65}R/{}^{63}R$ at the Cu(2) site remains a constant value around the magnetic quotient $a = 1.1477$ for various temperatures, indicating negligible $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ and the presence of only $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$. Conversely, ${}^{65}R/{}^{63}R$ at the Cu(1) site deviates from 1.1477 around T_c , suggesting a detectable $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ component.

The magnetic and quadrupole relaxations of $Cu(1)$ are decomposed using the relations mentioned above, and the results are illustrated in Figs. [3\(a\)](#page-3-1) and [3\(b\),](#page-3-1) respectively. The $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ depicted in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-3-1) drops below T_c due to the loss of DOS, aligning with the behavior of the R/T at the Cu(2) site where solely magnetic relaxation occurs and $R/T = 3/(T_1T)$ ^{mag}. In contrast, the $1/(T_1T)$ ^{quad} displays a prominent coherence peak just below T_c , in sharp contrast to conventional superconductors where the coherence peak is evident in the $1/(T_1T)^{mag}$ and absent in $1/(T_1T)^{quad}$. This discrepancy elucidates the absence of a coherence peak at the $Cu(2)$ site, as it is unaffected by quadrupole relaxation. It is noteworthy that the $1/(T₁T)^{quad}$ is smaller than $1/(T_1T)^{mag}$, underscoring the higher sensitivity of most nuclei, such as Cu, to magnetic fluctuations in dipolar interaction than to EFG fluctuations in quadrupole

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of spin-lattice relaxation rates and their ratio of $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ around T_c . The superconducting state is marked in yellow. Spin-lattice relaxation rates of ⁶⁵Cu and ⁶³Cu at the (a) Cu(1) site (cyan and red) and (b) Cu(2) site (purple and green), respectively. A coherence peak can be identified below T_c at the Cu(1) site. (c),(d) Spin-lattice relaxation rate ratios of ⁶⁵Cu to ⁶³Cu at Cu(1) and Cu(2) sites, respectively. The dashed lines mark the value of $({}^{65}\gamma/{}^{65}\gamma)^2 = 1.1477$, where there is only magnetic relaxation. Deviation from the dashed line indicates quadrupole relaxation emerges below T_c at the Cu(1) site.

FIG. 3. The relaxation rate of (a) magnetic component $1/(T₁T)^{mag}$ and (b) the quadrupole component $1/(T₁T)^{quad}$ at the Cu(1) site. The yellow background indicates the superconducting state. The coherence peak is located at the $1/(T_1T)$ ^{quad} but is absent at the $1/(T_1T)$ ^{mag}.

interaction. Moreover, in the superconducting state, on lowering the temperature, the quadrupolar relaxation diminishes faster than the magnetic one [[22](#page-9-12)]. Consequently, the coherence peaks in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-3-0) have historically been inconspicuous and challenging to detect.

There is one thing we need to emphasize: The superfluid density of the system around T_c is dominated by the CuO₂ plane, since the bare transition temperature of the chain is much smaller than the plane owing to the strong fluctuations in one dimension [[25](#page-9-15)–[28\]](#page-9-16). Therefore, although $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ is measured at the chains, the peak from $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ directly probes the charge dynamics of the $CuO₂$ plane. To simplify our discussion and arrive at a qualitative understanding, we focus on only the $CuO₂$ plane and leave the general discussion to the Appendix. The newly observed $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ peak is proportional to a q-summed charge susceptibility $\chi_{\rho}(q,E)$ of cuprate superconductivity, underscoring the significance of both long and short wavelengths to $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$. Theoretically, $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{T_1^{\text{quad}}} \propto -T \sum_{kq} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_k \Delta_{k+q}}{E_k^2} \right) F^2(q) \frac{\partial f(E_k)}{\partial E_k} \delta(E_k - E_{k+q}),\tag{3}
$$

where the $F(q)$ is the structure factor of the quadrupole interaction. A key aspect of this equation is the coherence factor $(1 - \Delta_k \Delta_{k+q}/E^2)$. For a *d*-wave superconductor, a prominent momentum q is around $q_0 = (\pi, \pi)$. This q_0 excitation, along with the corresponding coherence factor, has previously resulted in a distinct spin resonance peak in neutron scattering of cuprate superconductors [[29](#page-9-17)]. Similarly, this coherence factor around q_0 gives rise to a sign reversal $\Delta_k \Delta_{k+q_0} < 0$, which cannot counterbalance the divergence from DOS in the superconducting state. Hence, this q_0 may lead to this unconventional coherence peak in $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ upon entering the superconducting transition.

III. DISCUSSION

The unconventional coherence peak identified in the nuclear quadrupole relaxation rate of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$

FIG. 4. Schematic diagrams of experimental measured magnetic and quadrupole relaxation rates of conventional and unconventional superconductors. The cyan and yellow backgrounds represent the conventional and unconventional superconducting states, respectively. (a) The magnetic relaxation rate shows a Hebel-Slichter coherence peak just below T_c , while (b) the quadrupole relaxation rate drops rapidly below T_c in conventional superconductors. In unconventional superconductors, (d) the magnetic relaxation rate drops below T_c , while (e) the quadrupole relaxation rate shows an unconventional coherence peak. (c) The Fermi surface of the s-wave superconducting gap, where the gap is isotropic. (f) The Fermi surface of the d-wave superconducting gap, where the gap changes sign from positive (green) to negative (yellow). The arrow describes the scattering from positive to negative gap value, which leads to a sign change $\Delta_k \Delta_{k+q} < 0$ in the quadrupole relaxation rate coherence factor $C = 1 - \Delta_k \Delta_{k+q}/E_k^2$.

complements the relaxation rate pattern depicted in Fig. [4](#page-4-0). In conventional superconductors, a Hebel-Slichter coherence peak is typically observed in the magnetic relaxation rate, as illustrated in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-4-0), whereas the quadrupole relaxation rate drops below T_c due to coherence factors, as illustrated in Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-4-0). It reflects that the gap is isotropic without a sign reversal in conventional superconductors, as shown in Fig. [4\(c\)](#page-4-0). Conversely, unconventional superconducting gaps exhibit a sign reversal attributed to electron-electron correlation. In such cases, the magnetic relaxation rate does not display a coherence peak around T_c experimentally, and the quadrupole relaxation rate acquires a coherence peak, as illustrated in Figs. [4\(d\)](#page-4-0) and [4\(e\)](#page-4-0). Historically, the absence of the Hebel-Slichter coherence peak in $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ was widely discussed [[7](#page-9-18)–[9,](#page-9-19)[30](#page-9-20)–[34](#page-9-21)]. One of the prevailing views is that the sign-changing gap is the reason for this absence, which eliminates the coherence factor contribution [\[17](#page-9-7)[,30](#page-9-20)–[32\]](#page-9-22). Meanwhile, it will lead to a phenomenon that the $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ be enhanced by the coherence factors just below T_c due to the gap sign changes at various positions around Fermi surfaces, with scattering between different signs becoming dominant, as shown in Fig. [4\(f\)](#page-4-0).

The sharp contrasts observed in $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ from conventional superconductors provide a novel method to explore the unconventional nature of the pairing mechanism in unconventional superconductors. The spin-singlet Cooper pairs are widely believed to be formed above T_c owing to the small superfluid density in unconventional superconductors [[35](#page-9-23)]. The unconventional peak observed in $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ leads to a hallmark of forming phase coherent unconventional superconducting condensate below T_c . This peak can be used to diagnose unconventional (sign-changing gap) superconductivity with significant quadrupole relaxation.

On the other hand, measurement conditions for $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ are quite stringent, necessitating substantial EFG fluctuations, significant η , and the presence of two or more isotopes. Cuprates have charge-density wave (CDW) fluctuations in the phase diagram [[36](#page-9-24),[37](#page-9-25)], which provide opportunity to detect $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$. Although CDW fluctuations contribute to $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, the peak found here cannot be due to CDW which competes with superconductivity and CDW order appears only when superconductivity is killed. Some cuprates contain chain Cu with large η and two isotopes 63,65Cu. Underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_{7−δ} sharing similar electronic band structure with $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ can also be utilized to identify the unconventional coherence peak [[38](#page-9-26)]. However, measuring $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ in other unconventional superconductors poses challenges, such as in iron arsenide,

where only one isotope of 75 As is present [[39](#page-9-27)]. Theoretically, $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ can be estimated by comparing the relaxation rate measured by NMR and NQR. However, the different principle axes and the external field make it hard to compare NMR and NQR directly [[23](#page-9-13)]. Another method is to compare the relaxation rate of satellite peaks with the central peak. Nevertheless, achieving this requires exceedingly precise data that surpass current experimental precision levels [\[20\]](#page-9-10). As measurement precision improves, there may be opportunities to explore a wider range of systems. We hope our study will inspire extensive future experimental and theoretical investigations to elucidate whether the unconventional coherence peak is a universal characteristic of unconventional superconductivity and delve into its underlying mechanisms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Chengtian Lin of Max-Planck-Institute für Festkörperforschung for his help in sample synthesis. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grants No. 2022YFA1403903, No. 2022YFA1602800, and No. 2022YFA1403901), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 12134018, No. 12174428, No. 11888101, No. 12488201, and No. 12494590), the Strategic Priority Research Program and Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB33010100), the Chinese Academy of Sciences Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (2022YSBR-048), the New Cornerstone Investigator Program, and the Synergetic Extreme Condition User Facility (SECUF).

APPENDIX: METHODS

1. Sample growth and characterization

 $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ single crystals are synthesized with $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ powders and the same molar ratio CuO (99.9%). The precursor YBa₂Cu₃O_{7−δ} is prepared by solid-state reaction. Stoichiometric proportions of Y_2O_3 (99.99%), BaCO₃ (99.99%), and CuO (99.9%) are thoroughly mixed and grounded and then calcined in air at 860 °C for 24 h. The products are ground and then pressed into a pellet and calcined at 890 °C for 48 h in Ar (95%)– O2 (5%). The prepared YBa₂Cu₃O_{7−δ} powders and CuO are mixed uniformly and then put into the Al_2O_3 crucible with 50–70 wt.% KOH as flux. After keeping at 700 °C for 4 h, samples are cooled to 500 °C at a speed of $8\degree C/h$ and fast cooled to room temperature finally. By soaking them in ethanol to remove the flux, we get small single crystals with a typical size of 0.1 mm. The x-ray diffraction pattern demonstrates the samples are $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-5-0) The magnetic susceptibility measured with a magnetic property measurement system (MPMS-III) exhibits perfect diamagnetism, as shown in Fig. 6.

FIG. 5. The x-ray diffraction pattern of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ at room temperature.

FIG. 6. Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ single crystal measured at 15 Oe. The data measured with zero field cooling show perfect diamagnetic. The dashed line indicates the superconducting transition temperature.

2. NQR measurements

The skin effect of the metallic state and the penetration depth of the superconducting state can shield the detection signal into the sample, so to achieve a large detectable volume we ground the samples and sieve with 300 mesh standard sieves to ensure a uniform powder particle size $(<50 \mu m$). NQR measurements are carried out using a commercial NMR spectrometer from Thamway Co. Ltd. The NQR spectra are acquired by integrating the intensity of spin echo at each frequency, as shown in Fig. 7. The quadrupole resonance frequencies $\nu_{\rm Q}$ are summarized in Fig. 8. We notice that the ν_{Ω} of Cu(1) shows a small kink at T_c and decreases below T_c in Fig. 8. It is natural to ask whether this kink can influence the spin-lattice relaxation rate R. First of all, the change in ν_{Ω} is equal to the static EFG change crossing T_c . There are two possible origins for this static EFG change: (a) the electronic structure changes; (b) the coupling between electron and nuclear changes. Then, we can separate ν_Q change into two cases.

FIG. 7. NQR spectra of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ at some typical temperatures. The curves are vertically offset for clarity. Four peaks are $65,63$ Cu of the Cu(1) site and Cu(2) site, respectively. The nature abundance of 63 Cu and 65 Cu are 69% and 31%, respectively, which lead to a peak intensity of ${}^{63}Cu$ about twice that of ${}^{65}Cu$.

- (i) If the static EFG change comes from the electrons, the only electronic changes that occur at T_c are from the superconductivity. So, this case is equal to saying that the superconducting ordering induces both the $\nu_{\rm Q}$ change and R peak crossing T_c . And the $\nu_{\rm Q}$ change indicates that superconducting does influence the Cu(1) chain. In this case, R is decided by superconducting transition but not ν_{Ω} .
- (ii) If the static EFG change comes from the coupling, we can estimate this change to R . As discussed in the theoretical analysis subsection, the spin relaxation rate is proportional to the relaxation matrix W_{mn} between a state $|m\rangle$ and $|n\rangle$ by $|\langle m|H_Q|n\rangle|^2$. Hence, the static EFG influence to the R by the $(\nu_Q + \delta \nu_Q)^2 / \nu_Q^2 \approx 1 + 2 \delta \nu_Q / \nu_Q$. In other words, if we imagine the change of R comes from a static coupling change instead of the electron fluctuations, the R by the static EFG is changed by $2\delta\nu_o/\nu_o$.

We know that $\delta\nu$ ^o just below T_c is less than 0.03% in Fig. 8. This means 0.03% change of static EFG will change R by 0.06% in case (b). However, the R in Fig. $2(a)$

FIG. 8. Temperature-dependent quadrupole resonance frequencies of ^{63,65}Cu of the Cu(1) site and Cu(2) site. T_c is marked with a dashed line. The frequencies change little with temperature over the entire temperature range, even in the superconducting state. The spin-lattice relaxation rate at each temperature is measured at the corresponding frequencies.

increases about 5% just below T_c , which is much larger than this small change. Moreover, $\nu_{\rm O}$ decreases monotonically with decreasing temperature below T_c , while R peaks just below T_c and decreases at lower temperatures. So the peak of R cannot come from ν_{O} change. The change of R reflects the change of DOS and the appearance of the coherence factor in the superconducting state.

Spin-lattice relaxation rate R for every nucleus is measured using a comb-shaped-pulse recovery method with the recovery function $M(t) = M(\infty) - A \exp(-Rt)$, where error bars are the standard error of the least square fit. $M(t)$ is the nuclear magnetization at time t after saturation pulses. $M(\infty)$ is the value of $M(t)$ in an equilibrium state, and $A = M(\infty) - M(0)$, where $M(0)$ is the initial value of $M(t)$ after the saturation pulses. Both $M(\infty)$ and A are fitting parameters. R/T in the full temperature zone is shown in Fig. 9. R can be written as a sum of two contributions of $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$, $63,65R =$ $3/63,65T_1^{\text{mag}} + 1/63,65T_1^{\text{quad}}$ for $63,65Cu$ NQR experiment. $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ is proportional to the square of the gyromagnetic ratio, $1/T_1^{\text{mag}} \propto \gamma^2$, so $a \equiv \left(\frac{63}{T_1^{\text{mag}}}/\frac{65}{T_1^{\text{mag}}}\right) = \left(\frac{65}{\gamma}/\frac{63}{\gamma}\right)^2 =$ 1.1477. $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ is proportional to the square of the quadruple moment, $1/T_1^{\text{quad}} \propto Q^2$, so $b \equiv \left(\frac{63}T_1^{\text{quad}}\right) / 65T_1^{\text{quad}}$
 $\left(\frac{65}Q/63Q\right)^2 = 0.8562$. Based on these relationships, $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ can be distinguished.

We want to add a note here. The EFG principal axis of $Cu(1)$ is along the *a* axis, and the principal axis of $Cu(2)$ is along the c axis [\[4](#page-8-2)]. In a conventional superconductor, the Hebel-Slichter coherence peak appears in all directions. The coherence peak reflects the divergence of DOS and

FIG. 9. Temperature-dependent spin-lattice relaxation rates R/T of ⁶⁵Cu and ⁶³Cu at the Cu(1) site and the Cu(2) site, respectively. The superconducting state is marked in yellow.

coherence between electrons. Whether there is a coherence peak does not depend on the direction of the principal axes of EFG. However, if one wants to separate $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ by comparing NMR and NQR or by comparing atoms at different sites, the principal axis is important. $1/T_1^{\text{mag}}$ is determined by the fluctuations perpendicular to the applied magnetic field and $1/T_1^{\text{quad}}$ is determined by the fluctuations perpendicular to the principal axes when $\eta = 0$. The mismatch between the applied magnetic field and the principle axes leads to different $1/T_1$ values of NMR from NQR. When $\eta > 0$, even if the applied field is along the principal axes, NMR and NQR cannot get the same $1/T_1$ value [\[23\]](#page-9-13). Moreover, the principal axes of Cu(1) and Cu(2) are different, so their $1/T_1$ from NQR cannot be compared directly [\[4\]](#page-8-2). We use the method of comparing $1/T_1$ of ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu at the same site, which have the same EFG and form factor, to avoid this problem.

3. Theoretical analysis

The Hamiltonian of quadrupole interaction can be compactly written as

$$
H_Q = eQ \int \mathrm{d}r V_{\mu\nu}(r) I_{\mu\nu}(r). \tag{A1}
$$

The EFG tensor $V_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed as $V_{\mu\nu}(r) =$ $\int dr' \rho(r') T_{\mu\nu}(r, r')$, where $T_{\mu\nu}(r, r')$ is the spatial function linking the electron density $\rho(r')$ to nucleus quadrupole $I_{\mu\nu}(r)$. Thus, the charge fluctuation in NQR is mainly determined by the density fluctuation of electrons and quadrupole of the nuclear. The Fourier transformed Hamiltonian in the lattice can be expressed as H_O = $e \sum_{q} F(q) \rho(q) A(-q)$, where $F(q)$ is the structure factor determined by $T_{\mu\nu}(r, r')$ and A contains the quadrupole moment and spin of nuclear. The relaxation of the nuclear spin and lattice toward the thermodynamic equilibrium can be described by the master equation $\left[\frac{dP(t)}{dt}\right]$ = $W_{mn}[P(t) - P(0)]$, where $P(t)$ is the population vector of the different energy levels [\[20\]](#page-9-10). The relaxation matrix W_{mn} is given by $W_{mn} \propto T \sum_q F^2(q) \lim_{\omega \to 0} [\text{Im} \chi_{\rho\rho}(q,\omega)/\omega]$ through second-order perturbation theory. Here, $\chi_{\rho\rho}(q,\omega)$ is the density-density correlation function. For superconductors, $\chi_{\rho\rho}(q,\omega)$ can be calculated by the Green's function as

$$
\chi_{\rho\rho}(q, i\omega_n) = F^2(q) \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k, i\omega_n} \text{Tr}[G(k, i\omega_n)\tau_3
$$

$$
\times G(k + q, i\omega_n + i\omega_n)\tau_3], \qquad (A2)
$$

where τ_3 is the Pauli matrix and $G(k, i\upsilon_n)$ is the Matsubara Green's function for SC. Then, we can get

$$
\frac{1}{T_1^{\text{quad}}} \propto -T \sum_{kq} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_k \Delta_{k+q}}{E_k^2} \right) F^2(q) \frac{\partial f(E_k)}{\partial E_k} \delta(E_k - E_{k+q}).
$$
\n(A3)

As we discuss in the main text, the coherence peak is from the $CuO₂$ plane. By focusing on the plane, Fig. [10](#page-7-0) shows

FIG. 10. The theoretical simulation for the quadrupole relaxation rate in d-wave superconductors. Here, we limit the summation around (π, π) .

the quadrupole relaxation rate in d -wave superconductors calculated by summing the q points near (π, π) .

The above analysis provides a qualitative understanding of the coherence peak in d-wave superconductors. On the other hand, since NQR relaxation rate can be observed only on the $Cu(1)$ sites rather than on the $Cu(2)$ sites due to a nonzero η on CuO chains, we need consider a multiband model including both CuO planes and CuO chains to more convincingly describe the experimental phenomena. The Hamiltonian of the two-band model can be written as

$$
H_0 = \sum_{nk} \varepsilon_{nk} c_{nk\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{nk\sigma} + \varepsilon_{\perp k} (c_{1k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2k\sigma} + \text{H.c.})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{nk} \Delta_n \phi_{nk} (c_{nk\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{n-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}).
$$
 (A4)

The dispersions of the CuO chain $(n = 1)$ and CuO plane $(n = 2)$ are $\varepsilon_{1k} = -2t_{cNN} \cos k_x + \varepsilon_c$ and $\varepsilon_{2k} =$ $-2t_{pNN}(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4t_{pNNN} \cos k_x \cos k_y + \varepsilon_p$, respectively. The tunneling term between the two layers has the form $\varepsilon_{\perp k} = t_{cp} + 2t_{cpNN}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$. All the parameters in the tight-binding model can be obtained from the density-functional theory calculations. $\Delta_n \phi_{nk}$ is the meanfield order parameter of the chain and plane bands. To our knowledge, how the chain becomes superconducting remains controversial. Since the failure of considering only the single-particle tunneling model has been highlighted in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-9-15), we take the pair tunneling interaction, specifically Josephson coupling. The singlet pair tunneling term has the form

$$
H_{I} = -\lambda_{J} \sum_{kk'} (\phi_{1k} \phi_{2k'} c_{1k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2-k'\downarrow} c_{2k'\uparrow} + \text{H.c.}), \quad (A5)
$$

where λ_j is the Josephson coupling strength. Because of symmetry requirements, Josephson coupling imposes the same pairing symmetry on both the CuO chains and planes. Thus, we set $\phi_{1k} = \phi_{2k} = \cos k_x - \cos k_y$. Then, Δ_n can be determined by self-consistent equations

$$
\Delta_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{V} \sum_k \phi_{1k} \langle c_{1k\uparrow} c_{1-k\downarrow} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_J}{V} \sum_k \phi_{2k} \langle c_{2k\uparrow} c_{2-k\downarrow} \rangle,
$$

$$
\Delta_2 = \frac{\lambda_J}{V} \sum_k \phi_{1k} \langle c_{1k\uparrow} c_{1-k\downarrow} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_2}{V} \sum_k \phi_{2k} \langle c_{2k\uparrow} c_{2-k\downarrow} \rangle, \quad (A6)
$$

where λ_n are strengths of intralayer pairing potentials. Figure [11\(a\)](#page-8-5) shows the amplitude of order parameters on the CuO chain and plane. Because of Josephson coupling, the order parameter from the CuO planes penetrates into the chains. As a result, the phase coherence properties of the planes can also be detected in the chains. Note that if $\lambda_I = 0$, the self-consistent calculation will yield a very small value for Δ_c . Furthermore, we calculate the superfluid density along x and y directions shown in Fig. [11\(b\).](#page-8-5) The

FIG. 11. (a) The amplitude of order parameters on CuO chain Δ_c and plane Δ_p through the self-consistent calculation. (b) The superfluid density along x and y directions. (c) The quadrupole relaxation rate on the CuO chain $[Cu(1)$ site]. (d) The quadrupole relaxation rate on the CuO plane [Cu(2) site]. The parameters in calculation are set as $t_{pNN} = 0.36$, $t_{pNNN} = 0.12$, $t_{cNN} = 0.45$, $t_{cp} = 0.014$, $t_{cpNN} = 0.04$, $\varepsilon_c = 0.26$, $\varepsilon_p = 0.22$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.8$, and $\lambda_I = 0.15$.

quadrupole relaxation rate projected on the chain and plane bands can be calculated using this two-band model. Figures $11(c)$ and $11(d)$ show the results. The quadrupole relaxation rate on the CuO chain qualitatively captures the main features in the experiment: The coherence peak occurs just below T_c . We emphasize that the conclusions do not depend on the specific form of interlayer coupling, as we also achieve the similar results using the singleparticle tunneling model.

- [1] L. Taillefer, Scattering and pairing in cuprate supercon-ductors, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104117) 1, 51 (2010).
- [2] T. Imai, T. Shimizu, T. Tsuda, H. Yasuoka, T. Takabatake, Y. Nakazawa, and M. Ishikawa, Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation of ^{63,65}Cu at the Cu(2) sites of the high T_c superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_{7−δ}, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.57.1771) **57**, 1771 (1988).
- [3] T. Imai, T. Shimizu, H. Yasuoka, Y. Ueda, and K. Kosuge, Anomalous temperature dependence of Cu nuclear spin-lattice relaxation in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.91}, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.57.2280) 57, [2280 \(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.57.2280).
- [4] H. Zimmermann, M. Mali, D. Brinkmann, J. Karpinski, E. Kaldis, and S. Rusiecki, Copper NQR and NMR in the superconductor $YBa_2Cu_4O_{8+x}$, [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(89)91304-X) 159C[, 681 \(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(89)91304-X).
- [5] W. W. Warren and R. E. Walstedt, NQR and NMR studies of spin dynamics in high T_c superconducting cuprates, [Z. Naturforsch. A](https://doi.org/10.1515/zna-1990-3-429) 45, 385 (1990).
- [6] T. Machi, I. Tomeno, T. Miyatake, N. Koshizuka, S. Tanaka, T. Imai, and H. Yasuoka, Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation and

Knight shift in $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$, [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(91)90790-6) 173C, 32 [\(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(91)90790-6)

- [7] K. Asayama, Y. Kitaoka, G.-q. Zheng, and K. Ishida, NMR studies of high Tc superconductors, [Prog. Nucl. Magn.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6565(95)01025-4) [Reson. Spectrosc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6565(95)01025-4) 28, 221 (1996).
- [8] T. Imai, C. P. Slichter, J. L. Cobb, and J. T. Markert, Superconductivity and spin fluctuations in the electron-doped infinitely-layered high Tc superconductor $Sr_{0.9}La_{0.1}CuO₂$ $(T_c = 42 \text{ K})$, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(95)00226-X) 56, 1921 (1995).
- [9] A. Rigamonti, F. Borsa, and P. Carretta, Basic aspects and main results of NMR-NQR spectroscopies in high-temperature superconductors, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/61/10/002) 61, 1367 (1998).
- [10] M. Jurkutat, M. Avramovska, G. V. M. Williams, D. Dernbach, D. Pavicevic, and J. Haase, Phenomenology of $63Cu$ nuclear relaxation in cuprate superconductors, [J. Supercond. Novel Magn.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-019-05275-6) 32, 3369 (2019).
- [11] L. C. Hebel, Theory of nuclear spin relaxation in super-conductors, Phys. Rev. 116[, 79 \(1959\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.116.79)
- [12] C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- [13] C.P. Slichter, Magnetic resonance studies of high temperature superconductors, in Handbook of High-Temperature Superconductivity: Theory and Experiment, edited by J. R. Schrieffer and J. S. Brooks (Springer, New York, 2007), pp. 215–256.
- [14] D. E. MacLaughlin, Magnetic resonance in the superconducting state, in Solid State Physics, edited by F. S. Henry Ehrenreich and T. David (Academic, New York, 1976), Vol. 31, pp. 1–69.
- [15] S. Wada and K. Asayama, Nuclear quadrupole spin-lattice relaxation of Ta^{181} in type II superconducting Ta_3Sn , [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.34.1168) 34, 1168 (1973).
- [16] Z. Li, W. H. Jiao, G. H. Cao, and G.-Q. Zheng, Charge fluctuations and nodeless superconductivity in quasione-dimensional Ta₄Pd₃Te₁₆ revealed by ¹²⁵Te-NMR and 181 Ta- NQR , Phys. Rev. B 94[, 174511 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.174511).
- [17] T. Xiang and C. Wu, D-wave Superconductivity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2022).
- [18] J. Karpinski, E. Kaldis, E. Jilek, S. Rusiecki, and B. Bucher, Bulk synthesis of the 81-K superconductor $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ at high oxygen pressure, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/336660a0) 336, 660 (1988).
- [19] F. Raffa, T. Ohno, M. Mali, J. Roos, D. Brinkmann, K. Conder, and M. Eremin, Isotope dependence of the spin gap in $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ as determined by Cu NQR relaxation, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5912) 81, 5912 (1998).
- [20] A. Suter, M. Mali, J. Roos, and D. Brinkmann, Mixed magnetic and quadrupolar relaxation in the presence of a dominant static Zeeman Hamiltonian, [J. Phys. Condens.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/26/022) Matter 10[, 5977 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/26/022)
- [21] I. Mangelschots, M. Mali, J. Roos, D. Brinkmann, S. Rusiecki, J. Karpinski, and E. Kaldis, ¹⁷O NMR study in aligned YBa₂Cu₄O₈ powder, [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(05)80005-X) 194C, [277 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(05)80005-X).
- [22] A. Suter, M. Mali, J. Roos, and D. Brinkmann, Charge degree of freedom and the single-spin fluid model in $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4938) **84**, 4938 (2000).
- [23] A. Goto, T. Shimizu, H. Aoki, M. Kato, K. Yoshimura, K. Kosuge, T. Matsumoto, and Y. Yamada, Anisotropy study of the spin-lattice relaxation rates at the $Cu(1)$ chain sites of

 $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ and $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.67.759) 67, 759 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.67.759)

- [24] F. Raffa, M. Mali, A. Suter, A. Y. Zavidonov, J. Roos, D. Brinkmann, and K. Conder, Spin and charge dynamics in the Cu-O chains of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.3636) 60, 3636 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.3636)
- [25] T. Xiang and J.M. Wheatley, Superfluid anisotropy in YBCO: Evidence for pair tunneling superconductivity, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.134) Rev. Lett. 76[, 134 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.134)
- [26] A. Serafin, J. D. Fletcher, S. Adachi, N. E. Hussey, and A. Carrington, Destruction of chain superconductivity in $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$ in a weak magnetic field, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.140506) 82, [140506\(R\) \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.140506).
- [27] W. A. Atkinson and J. P. Carbotte, *Effect of proximity* coupling of chains and planes on the penetration-depth anisotropy in $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.10601) 52, 10601 [\(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.10601)
- [28] R. Gagnon, S. Pu, B. Ellman, and L. Taillefer, Anisotropy of heat conduction in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.9}$: A probe of chain super-conductivity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1976) 78, 1976 (1997).
- [29] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, P. W. Anderson, D. Reznik, F. Doğan, and I. A. Aksay, Phonon and magnetic neutron scattering at 41 meV in $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.316) 75, [316 \(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.316).
- [30] T. Koyama and M. Tachiki, Theory of nuclear relaxation in superconducting high- T_c oxides, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.2279) 39, 2279 [\(1989\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.2279)
- [31] H. Monien and D. Pines, Spin excitations and pairing gaps in the superconducting state of $YBa₂Cu₃O_{7−δ}$, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.6297) 41[, 6297 \(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.6297).
- [32] D. Thelen, D. Pines, and J. P. Lu, Evidence for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing from nuclear-magnetic-resonance experiments in the superconducting state of $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.9151) 47, [9151 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.9151).
- [33] M. Zoli, Smearing of the Hebel-Slichter peak by 2D fluctuations in layered cuprate superconductors, [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.60.3837) Soc. Jpn. 60[, 3837 \(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.60.3837).
- [34] B. W. Statt, Anisotropic gap and quasiparticle-damping effects on NMR measurements of high-temperature super-conductors, Phys. Rev. B 42[, 6805 \(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.6805).
- [35] V.J. Emery and S.A. Kivelson, Importance of phase fluctuations in superconductors with small superfluid density, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/374434a0) 374, 434 (1995).
- [36] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, From quantum matter to high-temperature super-conductivity in copper oxides, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165) 518, 179 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165)
- [37] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Kramer, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. X. Liang, D. A. Bonn, and M. H. Julien, Magnetic-field-induced charge-stripe order in the hightemperature superconductor $YBa₂Cu₃O_v$, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10345) 477[, 191 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10345).
- [38] T. Oguchi, T. Sasaki, and K. Terakura, Electronic band structure of $YBa₂Cu₄O₈$, [Physica \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(90)90617-N) 172C, 277 [\(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(90)90617-N)
- [39] Z. Li, D. L. Sun, C. T. Lin, Y. H. Su, J. P. Hu, and G. Q. Zheng, Nodeless energy gaps of single-crystalline $Ba_{0.68}K_{0.32}Fe_2As_2$ as seen via ⁷⁵As NMR, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.140506) 83[, 140506\(R\) \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.140506).